

# Tasks for the PIP-II RDR

Valeri Lebedev

PIP-II weekly meeting  
July - 1, 2014  
Fermilab

# Major Choices

- Booster at 20 Hz
- RDR assumes 900 MeV maximum energy (measured in tunnel length)
- Possibility to make straight linac tunnel for 2 GeV => ??? m
  - ◆ To achieve 2.4 MW in MI without tricks (no slip-stacking, second harmonic, KV-distribution) we need injection energy to the RCS of 2 GeV
- Linac to Booster transfer line with electro-magnets
- Future linac extension in a straight line to 2 GeV (?)
  - ◆ Detailed study can reduce this number

# **Table of Contents for PIP-II RDR**

## **1. INTRODUCTION (V. Lebedev)**

- 1.1. Evolution of the Reference Design
- 1.2. Assumptions
- 1.3. Facility Scope and Staging (relationship to PIP and NoVA)

## **2. PERFORMANCE GOALS (V. Lebedev)**

- 2.1. Technical Goals
- 2.2. Operational Scenarios

## 3. ACCELERATOR FACILITY DESIGN

### 3.1. SC Linac (V. Lebedev)

- 3.1.1. Warm Front End (ion source, LEBT, RFQ & MEBT)
- 3.1.2. SC Linac physics design (Choice of break-points, Acc. grad., cryomod. param.)
- 3.1.3. SC Linac - Beam Dynamics (add beam loss)
- 3.1.4. SC Linac - Pulsed operation (LFD compensation, Requirements to RF power and cryogenics)

### 3.2. Beam Transport to Booster and Beam Dump (D. Johnson) (beam line design, beam loss (additional to 3.1.3), beam dump requirements, beam switch to mu-to-e upgrade, future RF separation and beam transport to the next stage)

### 3.3. Booster Modifications (B. Zwaska)

- 3.3.1. Injection
- 3.3.2. Transition crossing
- 3.3.3. Modifications for 20 Hz operation
- 3.3.4. Beam instabilities (I. Burov)

### 3.4. Recycler/Main Injector (I. Kourbanis)

- 3.4.1. Recycler Modifications (+slip stacking and beam stability)
- 3.4.2. Main Injector Modifications
- 3.4.3. Beam instabilities (A. Burov)
- 3.4.4. Electron Cloud Mitigation
- 3.4.5. Low energy operation for MI (60-120 GeV)

## 4. DESIGN CONCEPTS OF MAJOR SUBSYSTEMS

### 4.1. SC Linac (V. Yakovlev)

- 4.1.1. Ion source, LEBT, RFQ and MEBT
- 4.1.2. CW Accelerating Structures Requirements
- 4.1.3. Low-beta section (2.1-10 MeV, 162.5 MHz)
- 4.1.4. Low-beta section (10-160 MeV, 325 MHz)
- 4.1.5. Medium-beta section (160 - 800 MeV, 650 MHz)
- 4.1.6. RF power
- 4.1.7. LLRF (B. Chase)

### 4.2. Booster (B. Zwaska)

- 4.2.1. Injection girder
- 4.2.2. RF cavities and High power RF
- 4.2.3. Changes required for 20 Hz operation

### 4.3. MI/RR (I. Kourbanis)

- 4.3.1. Hardware for Main Injector transition crossing
- 4.3.2. RF Systems (beam loading compensation)

### 4.4. Cryogenics (A. Klebaner)

### 4.5. Instrumentation (V. Scarpine)

### 4.6. Controls (J. Patrick) Includes Booster and MI, 20 Hz

### 4.7. Safety and radiation shielding (A. Leveling)

4.7.1. Radiation Limits

4.7.2. Radiological Design Requirements and Consequences of Project X Radiation Limits (TLM use in Booster)

4.8. Machine Protection System (J. Steimel & A. Warner)

4.8.1. MPS Configuration

4.8.2. Protection System R&D

**5. SITING AND CONVENTIONAL FACILITIES (J. Hunt)**

5.1. Siting Options

5.2. Conventional Facilities

5.3. Site Power Requirements

**APPENDIX I: Near term accelerator upgrades**

1. Beam delivery to Mu-to-e (Johnson)

2. Cryo-plant for CW operation (Klebaner)

**REFERENCES**

# Requests for work (No writing assignments yet)

## Linac

- Slava Yakovlev
  - ◆ Signed FRSs for SSR2, LB650 and HB650 - July end
- Warren Schappert
  - ◆ Stability margin of microphonics suppression with large LFD (theory help?)
- Arun Saini - July end
  - ◆ Pictures for beam dynamics in linac and RFQ
    - Beam envelopes in RFQ
    - Beam envelopes through the rest of the linac
    - Emittance growth through RFQ
    - RF phases and voltages through SC linac (and separate file with data)
- Dave Johnson (Booster)
  - ◆ Proposal for injection girder (optics and magnets) - July end
  - ◆ Simulations of beam painting (no Space charge) - mid August
  - ◆ Transfer line optics - August end

- Bob Zwaska (Booster)
  - ◆ Transition crossing simulations with RF voltage jump and final bunch rotation (space charge + impedances)
  - ◆ RF for 20 Hz operation
  - ◆ Stability boundaries for Booster
- Alexei Burov - end of August
  - ◆ Total tr. and L. impedances including HOMs for Booster, Recycler and MI
  - ◆ Theoretical analysis and comparison to experiment for the beam stability in Booster, Recycler and MI
  - ◆ Effect of octupoles (located at zero dispersion) on transverse beam stability in the course of slip-stacking in Recycler
- Ioanis Kourbanis
  - ◆ Measurements of transverse instability thresholds
  - ◆ Transition crossing in MI
  - ◆ Recycler dynamic aperture
  - ◆ Slip-stacking at 20 Hz